

1. A method we might call *centered Euler*

Consider the linear multistep formula $y_{j+2} = y_j + 2hf_{j+1}$ for approximating the solution of the ODE IVP $y' = f(t, y)$, $y(t_0) = y_0$, where f_j means $f(t_j, y_j)$.

- (a) Determine the *order of accuracy* of the method, and from that conclude whether the method is *consistent* or not.
- (b) Determine if the method is *stable* or not. Recall that stable means there are no growing modes when $f \equiv 0$.
- (c) From your answers for (a) and (b) conclude whether the method is *convergent* or not, justifying your answer.
- (d) Show that when applied to $y' = \lambda y$, the method blows up even for small negative $h\lambda$.
- (e) Does the fact stated in part (d) mean the method is useless? Justify your answer.

2. Reasons to prefer one ODE IVP method over another

- (a) Name or describe a method you like (other than Euler's!) for approximating the solution of an ODE IVP, and give a good reason you like it.
- (b) State one way in which the 4th order Adams-Bashforth multi-step method is better than a 4th order Runge-Kutta multi-stage method.
- (c) Same as part (b) with the methods reversed.
- (d) A 4D autonomous system of ODE has linearization with a pair of eigenvalues around $-1 \pm i$ and another around -10^6 . Name or specify concretely a method that would be appropriate for solving an IVP for this system, and explain why.

3. Absolute stability of the Taylor series method

- (a) Determine the eigenvalue, z , of the n th order Taylor series method with step size h applied to the scalar equation $y' = \lambda y$.
- (b) Define *absolute stability* of a method, and using your answer to part (a), argue that for any n , the method is absolutely stable for all sufficiently small negative real $h\lambda$.
- (c) At which negative real $h\lambda$ do the 1st order (Euler) and 2nd order methods lose absolute stability?
- (d) Is the 3rd order method absolutely stable at the $h\lambda$ values you computed in part (c)? Justify your answer.

4. 2-stage Runge-Kutta method

(a) A 2-stage Runge-Kutta method for generating approximations $y^{[k]} \approx y(kh)$, $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, to the solution of an IVP for the autonomous system $y' = f(y)$ employs a formula of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{y}^{[k+1]} &= y^{[k]} + hf(y^{[k]}), \\ y^{[k+1]} &= y^{[k]} + h(bf(y^{[k]}) + cf(\tilde{y}^{[k+1]})), \end{aligned}$$

where a, b, c are constants. Determine necessary and sufficient conditions on a, b, c for the method to have local truncation error $O(h^3)$, and write the general such method in terms of just c .

You may assume that f has partial derivatives to at least third order on the relevant region so as to justify the use of Taylor's theorem. Specialize to $y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ to keep the calculation explicit yet manageable.

- (b) Explain why in general we can consider only autonomous systems of ODEs, if we wish, without loss of generality.

5. Dependence of the solution of an ODE IVP on a parameter

[Answer this OR 6 OR 7.]

Consider an IVP for an autonomous scalar ODE that depends on a (t -independent) parameter, p :

$$\frac{\partial y(t, p)}{\partial t} = f(y, p), \quad y(0, p) = y_0 \quad (1)$$

where we wish to know the derivative of the solution at time t with respect to the parameter p .

(a) Call the derivative we are interested in knowing $v(t, p)$:

$$v(t, p) = \frac{\partial y(t, p)}{\partial p}.$$

Determine a differential equation and initial condition for $v(t, p)$ that could be solved along with (1) to obtain $v(t, p)$. Assume as much smoothness as you like.

To avoid confusion or ambiguity, use the notation

$$\partial_i f(y(t, p), p)$$

to denote the derivative of f with respect to its i^{th} argument ($i \in \{1, 2\}$), evaluated at $(y(t, p), p)$; and the notation

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p} f(y(t, p), p)$$

to denote the derivative of the expression $f(y(t, p), p)$ with respect to p .

(b) Specialize your answer for part (a) to the case $f(y, t) = py^2 - p^3$, and write out the system of two coupled ODEs explicitly.

6. 2nd order ODE BVP: Galerkin method

[Answer this OR 5 OR 7.]

As you know, the Galerkin approximation $Y = \sum_{i=1}^N a_i \phi_i$ to the solution of the BVP $(-ry')' + sy = f$, $y(0) = y(1) = 0$, is defined by requiring the *residual* $(-rY')' + sY - f$ to be orthogonal to each of the $\{\phi_i\}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle g, h \rangle_1 \equiv \int gh$, so that it minimizes the residual in the norm induced by this inner product.

But what about the actual *error* of the Galerkin approximation, $e = Y - y$ where y is the exact solution?

Show that e is orthogonal to each of the $\{\phi_i\}$ with respect to the inner product $\langle g, h \rangle_2 \equiv \int (rg'h' + sgh)$ (if the functions r and s are such that this in fact qualifies as an inner product), so that the Galerkin approximation minimizes the error in the norm induced by this other inner product.

Hints: Replace f in the residual using the differential equation. Integrate by parts. Make use of the boundary conditions.

7. FD method stability and consistency

[Answer this OR 5 OR 6.]

The DuFort-Frankel finite difference scheme for the heat equation $u_t - u_{xx} = 0$ is

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}}{2k} - \frac{\begin{array}{c} \circ \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ \circ \\ | \\ \circ \end{array}}{h^2} = 0$$

Determine any conditions on the grid spacings h (space) and k (time) that are necessary for the method to be *consistent* with the heat equation. To do this, Taylor-expand the DuFort-Frankel approximation to $u_t - u_{xx}$ and compare with $u_t - u_{xx}$ itself as $h \rightarrow 0$ and $k \rightarrow 0$.

8. Spectral differentiation

(a) Write down or compute the matrix, F_4 , of the discrete Fourier transform (DFT) for $n = 4$.

(b) Find the DFT of the vector $x = [3, 1, 1, 3]^T$, representing the values of a function on the grid $\{t_j = \frac{j}{4}T \mid j = 0, 1, 2, 3\}$.

(c) Use your answer to part (b) to compute the spectral derivative of x .